

CREDIT OPINION

2 February 2021



Contacts

Blake Cullimore +1.617.535.7692

AVP-Analyst

blake.cullimore@moodys.com

Robert Weber +1.212.553.7280

VP-Senior Analyst

robert.weber@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas 1-212-553-1653 Asia Pacific 852-3551-3077 Japan 81-3-5408-4100

EMEA 44-20-7772-5454

Port Chester (Village of) NY

Update to credit analysis

Summary

The Village of Port Chester, NY's credit profile benefits from its favorable location within commuting distance to the city of New York (Aa2, negative). The credit profile incorporates the village's modest, but growing fund balance and operations which generated a small but positive 1.1% margin in 2020 and a balanced fiscal 2021 budget. The rating also incorporates, below average resident wealth and incomes for the region, elevated fixed costs and elevated leverage. It will be critical over the coming years for the village to continue to grow its fund balance and cash levels to support its operational flexibility. Additionally, a material increase in fixed costs or long term liabilities would be viewed as a credit challenge.

The absence of distinction between the GOLT rating and the issuer rating reflects the village board's ability to override the property tax cap and the village's pledge of its faith and credit to pay debt service.

Credit strengths

- » Proximity to employment centers
- » Expanding tax base and property values
- » Growing fund balance and cash position

Credit challenges

- » Elevated leverage and fixed costs
- » Modest wealth and income levels compared to region

Rating outlook

Moody's does not generally assign outlooks to local governments with this amount of debt outstanding.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

- » A trend of operating surpluses, leading to growth in liquidity and reserves
- » Material tax base expansion
- » Reduced leverage and fixed costs

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

- » Reduced fund balance or cash levels
- » Increased fixed costs or leverage
- » Decline of tax base

Key indicators

Exhibit 1
Port Chester (Village of) NY

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Economy/Tax Base			,		
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$2,377,321	\$2,575,610	\$2,657,484	\$2,767,554	\$2,935,810
Population	29,417	29,623	29,418	29,342	29,342
Full Value Per Capita	\$80,815	\$86,946	\$90,335	\$94,321	\$100,055
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	91.3%	89.2%	96.0%	100.8%	100.8%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$37,781	\$38,455	\$40,884	\$43,447	\$45,047
Fund Balance (\$000)	\$7,824	\$7,824	\$5,482	\$5,979	\$6,905
Cash Balance (\$000)	\$7,673	\$7,403	\$5,530	\$5,926	\$6,814
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	20.7%	20.3%	13.4%	13.8%	15.3%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	20.3%	19.3%	13.5%	13.6%	15.1%
Debt/Pensions		,	,	,	
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$38,995	\$43,209	\$48,609	\$55,511	\$51,275
3-Year Average of Moody's ANPL (\$000)	\$49,110	\$53,784	\$56,847	\$60,871	\$71,329
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	2.0%	1.7%
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.0x	1.1x	1.2x	1.3x	1.1x
Moody's - ANPL (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	2.4%
Moody's - ANPL (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	1.3x	1.4x	1.4x	1.4x	1.6x

Fiscal year May 31

Sources: US Census Bureau, Port Chester (Village of) NY's financial statements and Moody's Investors Service

Profile

Port Chester, a 2.4 square mile village with approximately 29,342 residents in the Town of Rye (Aa1), is in the southwest portion of Westchester County (Aa1), approximately 30 miles northeast of New York City.

Detailed credit considerations

Economy and tax base: Affordable with proximity to major employment centers and modestly sized tax base

The Village's \$2.9 billion tax base will continue to expand in line with the recent trend of modest growth and its favorable proximity to New York City. Overall, the village tax base has grown at an average annual growth rate of 4.5% over the past five years. Its tax base is diverse with approximately 66% of the village residential and the remainder commercial and industrial. The villages location on the recently renovated and updated Bryam River waterfront should continue to expand economic development opportunities, as should a recently adopted form based zoning code.

Wealth and income levels are modest for the region. Median family income is equal to 92% and 101% of the state and national levels respectively. Full value per capita \$100,055 is below the Westchester County's \$186,175. The home values are 142% of the state median below the county at 172%. However unemployment is at 4.6%, lower than the county at 5.8% and the state at 8.1%. Relative

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

to other communities on long island sound in proximity of New York City, Port Chester is affordable with employment opportunities which should promote further opportunities for new growth and organic expansion of its tax base.

The Town of Rye (Aa1) assesses and collects taxes on behalf of the village, and defends tax certiorari petitions filed by village taxpayers. Because of timing and the administrative delays from the pandemic tax certiorari's are expected to increase significantly for fiscal 2021 and could be as large as \$600,000 of which approximately \$200,000 is from the prior year. a portion of this has been reserved and expected to come from fund balance, the village expects to issue debt to pay the refunds. The increased tax certiorari's over the last several years are attributed to incorrect tax assessments conducted by the Town of Rye. These are expected to be resolved over the next year and the Town of Rye expects tax certiorari's will decline significantly for the 2021-22 fiscal year and is working to address these issues in a fiscally sustainable manner.

Financial operations and reserves: Adequate reserves which continue to grow and variable operating results which turned positive in fiscal 2020

Port Chester's reserves have grown over the past several years and will remain critical to operating stability and while fiscal 2020 had a small surplus the village had operating deficits for in years prior. At the end of fiscal 2020, the village's available operating fund balance amounted to a three-year high of \$6.9 million or an adequate 15.3% of operating revenue. The Village's revenue are primarily derived from property taxes (63%) with state aid accounting for only 1.7% of operating revenue, while sales tax accounts for 14.8%, which increased over last years levels despite the pandemic. The villages reserve position declined from a high of \$7.8 million in 2016 as a result of draws on the fund balance because of several over budgeted line items including benefits and service contracts and weaker than expected revenue.

Fiscal 2020 operations trended favorably to budget and added a modest \$497,000 to fund balance. The village reported savings in various areas because of a reduction in services related to the pandemic. The fiscal 2020 budget was balanced with an increased tax levy which required an override reflecting the willingness of the village to address its budget challenges. Fiscal 2021 budgeting plans are in line with fiscal 2020 with no expected material changes in operations and no override. The village increased its property tax levy 3.4% from fiscal 2020 with total fiscal 2021 budgeted revenue of \$44 million in line with the prior year. Operating results in fiscal 2021 will reflect managements ability and the villages willingness to continue to address a challenging operating environment.

Liquidity

The village liquidity is solid with an ending fiscal 2021 cash position of \$5.6 million or 15% of revenue. The fiscal 2021 cash position is likely to remain at similar levels given its large tax certiorari's and expected draw of \$200,000.

Debt and other long term liabilities: Elevated leverage and high fixed costs

Overall, the debt and pension liabilities of Port Chester are elevated relative to the Aa3 rating. The village's net direct debt to full value increased modestly from 2015 to 2019. Likewise, fixed costs to service both debt, pension, and OPEB liabilities is elevated at 26% of fiscal 2020 operating revenue.

The villages unfunded pension and OPEB liabilities are significantly larger than its debt and, though manageable at this time, represent a potential future credit challenge. The village participates in two multiple employer cost-sharing pension plans and funds OPEB on a pay-go basis. The table below summarizes the pension and OPEB contributions and unfunded liabilities.

Exhibit 2
Elevated leverage and fixed costs

2020	(000)	% of Operating Revenues	Discount Rate
Operating Revenue	45,047	n/a	n/a
Reported Unfunded Pension Liability	19,778	44%	6.80%
Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability	88,815	197%	3.12%
Reported Net OPEB Liability	114,183	253%	2.63%
Moody's Adjusted Net OPEB Liability	111,724	248%	2.78%
Net Direct Debt	51,275	114%	n/a
Debt & unfunded retirement benefits (Moody's adjusted)	251,814	559%	
Pension Contribution	2,999	6.66%	n/a
OPEB Contribution	2,497	5.54%	n/a
Debt Service	6,163	13.68%	n/a
Total Fixed Costs	11,659	25.88%	n/a
Tread Water Gap	(198)	-0.44%	n/a
Moody's Adjusted Fixed Costs	11,461	25.44%	n/a

A negative pension tread water gap reflects a pension contribution larger than the amount required to keep the unfunded liability from increasing if all assumptions are realized Source: Issuer financial statements and Moody's Investors Service

Legal security

All of the village's bonds are secured by the village's general obligation pledge as limited by New York State's legislated cap on property taxes (Chapter 97 (Part A) of the Laws of the State of New York, 2011).

Debt structure

All of the villages debt is fixed rate with 68.6% of principal maturing within 10 years.

Debt-related derivatives

The village is not a party in and debt derivatives

Pensions and OPEB

Favorably, the villages pension contributions exceeded tread water by \$198,000, the amount required to prevent the unfunded liability from increasing, if all plan assumptions are realized. To the extent that the multiple-employer pension plans in which the village participates experience returns on assets that fall short of their assumptions, the village required pension contribution will increase. Because it is not pre-funding OPEB benefits as they accrue, which is not permitted under New York State law though changing that is under discussion in the legislature, the village OPEB costs will likely escalate as the number of retirees it covers grows and retirees age.

ESG considerations

Environmental

The village does not have significant environmental exposure in the near-term. According to data from Moody's affiliate Four Twenty Seven, which provides environmental exposure on the county level, the village's has high exposure to hurricanes and storm surge events. The villages is located on the Byram River which empties into long island sound at the village and exposes it directly to the sound. The village addresses capital projects annually and would benefit from state and federal assistance in the event of storm damage.

Social

Social issues are unlikely to pose a material credit risk over the near-term. We will continue to monitor a variety of social factors including demographics, wealth levels, population trends and employment levels, as these remain important key credit metrics. The village has a modest poverty rate of 11% compared the national average of 13%.

Governance

The village has a relatively new management team which they continue to build. However, a number of senior management have significant experience in the region and with the village. Fiscal 2020 positive operating results reflects their managerial abilities. As the

governing board and senior management move ahead continued ability to build fund balance and generate operating surpluses will be critical to supporting the rating.

New York cities have an institutional framework score ¹ of "Aa," which is strong. New York Cities operate within a state-imposed property tax cap, which limits the ability to increase their operating levy by the lesser of 2% or CPI. However, this cap can be overridden at the local level, without voter approval and many local governments have done so when necessary. Unpredictable revenue fluctuations tend to be low, reflected in expense growth being under 5% on average across the whole sector. Fixed and mandated costs are generally below 25% of expenditures. New York State has the additional constraint of the Triborough Amendment, which limits the ability to cut expenditures. Unpredictable expenditure fluctuations tend to be low, however, reflective in expense growth being under 5% on average across the whole sector.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology includes a scorecard, a tool providing a composite score of a local government's credit profile based on the weighted factors we consider most important, universal and measurable, as well as possible notching factors dependent on individual credit strengths and weaknesses. Its purpose is not to determine the final rating, but rather to provide a standard platform from which to analyze and compare local government credits.

Exhibit 3
Port Chester (Village of) NY

Vill	ane	of F	Port	Chester

Scorecard Factors and Subfactors	Measure	Score
Economy/Tax Base (30%) [1]		
Tax Base Size: Full Value (in 000s)	\$2,935,810	Aa
Full Value Per Capita	\$100,055	Aa
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	100.8%	Aa
Finances (30%)		
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	15.3%	Aa
4-Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as % of Revenues	-2.0%	Baa
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	15.1%	Aa
4-Year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as % of Revenues	-1.9%	Baa
Management (20%)		
Institutional Framework	Aa	Aa
Operating History: 5-Year Average of Operating Revenues / Operating Expenditures (x)	1.0x	Α
Debt and Pensions (20%)		
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	2.0%	Α
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.3x	Α
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Full Value (%)	2.4%	Α
3-Year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability / Operating Revenues (x)	1.6x	Α
Notching Adjustments: ^[2]		
Other Scorecard Adjustment Related to Debt and Pensions:		Down
	Scorecard-Indicated Outcome	A1
	Assigned Rating	Aa3

^[1] Economy measures are based on data from the most recent year available.

Endnotes

1 The institutional framework score assesses a municipality's legal ability to match revenue with expenditures based on its constitutionally and legislatively conferred powers and responsibilities. See <u>US Local Government General Obligation Debt (July 2020)</u> methodology report for more details.

^[2] Notching Factors are specifically defined in the US Local Government General Obligation Debt methodology.

^[3] Standardized adjustments are outlined in the GO Methodology Scorecard Inputs publication.

Source: US Census Bureau, Issuer's financial statements and Moody's Investors Service

© 2021 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE THEIR CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE APPLICABLE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ON NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING. OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$5,000,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY550,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER

1263967

CLIENT SERVICES

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

